## 1 State Systemic Improvement Plan (SSIP) Evaluation Plan

## 1.1 Evaluation Purpose

The purpose of the Multi-Tiered System of Supports-Integrated (MTSS-I) Evaluation Plan is to collect and report data on the activities in which all the stakeholders are engaged. The goal is to collect both actionable process-focused data that can be used quickly to understand and improve program implementation and outcomes-focusedm.

Outputs
Activities Results of Activities

**Performance Indicators** 

Activities	Outputs Results of Activities	Performance Indicators to Assess Implementation Progress	Measurement Data Collection Methods	Timeline
			Check-in Survey for stakeholders participating on State-level SSIP teams	
1.5 Establish and sustain the MTSS-I State Leadership Team to review results and learning from the SSIP and to guide State Educational Agency (SEA) policy alignment	State Leadership Team is established with a clear mission, set goals, and a commitment from representatives of key stakeholder groups State Leadership Team convenes monthly to review implementation progress, consider issues of alignment with other NYSED priorities, make decisions, and engage stakeholders in meaningful ways that increase their commitment to the work	State Leadership Team member roster includes well-qualified representatives from multiple stakeholder groups in the system Recommended practices and structures for effective team functioning are well-defined and used consistently State Leadership Team convenes at least twice annually State Leadership Team achieves at least 85% attendance at each meeting. Co-created products have been communicated and made accessible to the State Stakeholders	Document Review and Analysis (co-created by workgroup member sources: meeting schedule, agendas, minutes; member roster; team guidance documents/protocols)  Observations (Evaluator)	Annually
1.6 Establish and support District Leadership	DLTs are established, use effective team practices, and help SSIP School			-

Teams build capacity to support

per year as determined by the

progress, make decisions, and engage stakeholders in meaningful

ways that increase their commitment to the work DLTs are focused on building capacity, through the strengthening of implementation drivers, to support building-level teams, leaders, and staff implementing the

MTSS-

DLTs convene frequently (3-4 times

district) to review implementation

implementation of MTSS-I

Teams (DLTs) to

implementation at the

district and building

facilitate

levels

Activities	Outputs Results of Activities	Performance Indicators to Assess Implementation Progress	Measurement Data Collection Methods	Timeline
1.7 Establish and support SLTs to implement effective innovation at the building level	implementation SLTs are established, use effective team practices, and help to build capacity for scaling up MTSS-I	5.3 (i)-3.9 (o)-7. (men)-9.(e es).4 (a)-6.9 (b).678 0.		

Activities	Outputs Results of Activities	Performance Indicators to Assess Implementation Progress	Measurement Data Collection Methods	Timeline
Framework to the Institutions of Higher				

Performance Indicators to Assess Progress Toward/Achievement of Outcomes

Measurement
Data Collection Methods

Outcomes

	for the SSIP schools	implementation teams	format		
	and other various	A comprehensive set of	All SSIP implementation teams	Google Analytics	
	stakeholders	aligned implementation	have received access to the	Google Analytics	
		tools and guidance	space		
		materials is shared on the	There is evidence of frequent visits		
		MTSS-I resource library	from multiple users		
		and accessed by MTSS-	·		
		Implementation teams			
		and stakeholders			
Ī	2.2 Dayalan a sammushamahya	Ctata an acializa d warkarawa			

2.3 Develop a comprehensive set of implementation tools and guidance materials

State specialized workgroups creates practical, integrated implementation tools, data collection tools, and guidance materials

Activities	Outputs Results of Activities	Performance Indicators to Assess Implementation Progress	Measurement Data Collection Methods	Timeline
	implementation of the MTSS			

Outputs Performance Indicators Measurement
Activities Results of Activities to Assess Implementation Progress Data Collection Methods Timeline

	Performance Indicators to Assess Progress	Measurement
Outcomes	Toward/Achievement of Outcomes	Data Collection Methods
3.2 Districts and schools intentionally integrate the professional development, coaching, and technical assistance designed to support fidelity implementation of the MTSS-I Pilot Framework	Scores increase in relevant indicator areas on the school-wide/system-level fidelity assessments identified in Support Plans Scores increase in relevant indicator areas on the DCA	Annual Capacity Assessments administered to district-level SSIP teams/SLTs:  TFI R-TFI
3.3 School district leaders participating in the MTSS-I Pilot Framework increase their use of leadership practices aligned with MTSS-I Implementation	Scores increase in relevant indicator areas on the district-level Capacity Assessment Scores increase in relevant indicator areas on the school-wide/system-level fidelity assessments identified in Support Plans	Annual Capacity Assessments administered to district-level SSIP teams/SLTs:  • TFI
3.4 There is increased quality of on-site	Δ majority of 96 503 1632s668 243 84 94 08130 RT/TT1	

3.4 There is increased quality of on-site professional development, technical assistance, and coaching support services provided to SSIP districts and schools by MTSS-I Center coaches

A majority of .96 503.1632s668 243.84 94.08130.BT/TT1

	Outputs	Performance Indicators	Measurement	
Activities	Results of Activities	to Assess Implementation Progress	Data Collection Methods	Timeline

Table 14. Strategy V Process Evaluation: Progress Implementing Activities from the Improvement Plan

Activition	Outputs  Popults of Activities	Performance Indicators	Measurement	Timeline
Activities  5.1 Engage in ongoing communication with SSIP schools to help create readiness for implementation	Results of Activities  State-level teams utilize the bidirectional communication system to discuss readiness factors at the regional and sitelevels  SSIP schools utilize the bidirectional communication system to discuss readiness factors at the site level  SSIP cohort schools receive information and assistance from the MTSS-I Center to prepare staff and stakeholders to participate in the SSIP	to Assess Implementation Progress	Data Collection Methods	Timeline

	Activities	Outputs Results of Activities	Performance Indicators to Assess Implementation Progress	Measurement Data Collection Methods	Timeline
		SSIP and IHEs Activities are planned to	implementation teams across the SSIP districts and schools	participation data)	
96 (t)-3.i		build engagement 1523MTSS-Stakeholders ( (s)32. receive guidance on the importance of and how to participate in the VLS	i (o)-7.1 4 9.9n 0	Observations (Evaluator) a2{0	

Outcomes	Performance Indicators to Assess Progress Toward/Achievement of Outcomes	Measurement Data Collection Methods
	colleagues, families, and community members A majority of SSIP participants that visit the SSIP public website report satisfaction with accessibility and content SSIP website shows evidence of consistent updating	system  Google Analytics to measure website traffic
F 2 Among familias and community manch are		

5.3 Among families and community members from SSIP Districts and Schools, there is increased involvement in the education of MTSS-I via multiple presentations with various stakeholders, MTSS-I VLS, and collaboration with the Educational

	Outputs	Measurement	
Long-term Outcomes	Results of Activities	Data Collection Methods	
scoring at proficiency levels 2 and above on the NYS grades three through eight English Language Arts (ELA) assessment.	Increased percentage of LD Grade 4 students scoring at levels 2, 3, and 4 on NYS ELA assessment Increased percentage of LD Grade 5 students scoring at levels 2, 3, and 4 on NYS ELA assessment	Aggregated and disaggregated by student sub- population	
1 Grades 3-5 students with disabilities increase their performance level on specified benchmark assessments	Across All SSIP Schools: Grades 3-5 students improve scores on identified literacy benchmark assessments	Literacy Benchmark Assessment Data  Aggregated and disaggregated by student sub- population	
2 Grades			